IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE APPEALS BUREAU

HOLLY S WALKER

Claimant

APPEAL 21A-UI-06560-SC-T

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION

IOWA WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT REEMPLOYMENT SERVICES

OC: 09/06/20

Claimant: Appellant (6)

Iowa Code § 96.4(3) – Available for work

Iowa Code § 96.4(7) – Reemployment services

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.6 – Profiling for reemployment services

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.2(1)e – Procedures for workers desiring to file a claim for benefits

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-24.23 (11) - Failure to Report

Iowa Code § 96.6(2) – Timeliness of Appeal

Iowa Code Ch. 17A – Iowa Administrative Procedure Act

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.8(1) - Dismissal

STATEMENT OF THE CASE:

On February 17, 2021, Holly Walker (claimant/appellant) filed an appeal from the unemployment insurance decision dated December 1, 2020, reference 03, that she was not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits as of November 22, 2020, because she failed to report for a reemployment and eligibility assessment. Before a hearing was held, the agency issued a favorable decision to the appellant, dated April 5, 2021, reference 05. This decision made the issue on appeal moot. Therefore, no testimony was necessary and no hearing was held.

ISSUE:

Should the appeal be dismissed because the issue on appeal has been resolved in the appellant's favor?

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Having reviewed all of the evidence in the record, the administrative law judge finds: The decision appealed has been administratively resolved in favor of the appellant by the unemployment insurance decision dated April 5, 2021, reference 05.

REASONING AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

For the reasons that follow, the administrative law judge dismisses the appeal.

Iowa Admin. Code r. 871-26.8(1) provides:

Withdrawals, dismissals, and postponements.

(1) An appeal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the issuance of a decision upon the request of the appellant and with the approval of an administrative law judge or the manager or chief administrative law judge of the appeals bureau. Requests for withdrawal may be made in writing or orally, provided the oral request is recorded by the presiding officer.

An appeal may be dismissed upon the request of a party or in the agency's discretion when the issue or issues on appeal have been resolved in the appellant's favor.

Generally, courts and administrative tribunals do not decide issues when the underlying controversy is moot. *Rhiner v. State*, 703 N.W.2d 174, 176 (lowa 2005). "A case is moot if it no longer presents a justiciable controversy because the issues involved are academic or nonexistent." *Iowa Bankers Ass'n v. Iowa Credit Union Dep't*, 335 N.W.2d 439, 442 (Iowa 1983). As the agency resolved the prior disqualification in favor of the appellant prior to the hearing, there is no issue for the administrative law judge to adjudicate rendering the appeal moot.

DECISION:

The appeal of the unemployment insurance decision dated December 1, 2020, reference 03, is dismissed as it is moot.

The hearing scheduled for May 11, 2021, at 1:00 p.m. is cancelled.

Stephanie R. Callahan Administrative Law Judge

uphanie R Can

April 15, 2021

Decision Dated and Mailed

src/kmj